



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,758	08/09/2001	Lawrence Oskielunas	8567.57US02	4841
7590	06/05/2007		EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			NGUYEN, NGA B	
P.O. Box 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60610			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/925,758	OSKIELUNAS ET AL.
	Examiner Nga B. Nguyen	Art Unit 3692

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2001.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-108 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-108 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/12/02; 4/9/03</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on August 9, 2001, which paper has been placed of record in the file.
2. Claims 1-108 are pending in this application.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-34, 36-70, 72-104, and 106-108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coyle, U.S. Patent No. 6,269,157.

Regarding to claim 1, Coyle discloses a method of auctioning data services in a network environment including an exchange system including a supplier list having at least one supplier and a buyer list having at least one buyer, the method comprising:

receiving in the exchange system: a service request from a buyer for data services; and incentive data from a supplier to supply the data services (column 7, lines 45-60, Moderator receives bids from carriers; column 26, line 60-column 27, line 10, Moderator receives request for future service (RFS) from buyers);  
determining a selected supplier from the supplier list based on the incentive data

(column 7, lines 63-67, Moderator processes bids to prioritize them for each route);

creating routing data for the data services to be routed through the selected supplier (column 8, lines 1-10); and

routing the data services through the selected supplier using the routing data (column 8, lines 10-45)

whereby data services are provided in an incentive-driven environment, allowing flexibility in supplier relationships for the buyer and providing improved utilization and yield management opportunities for the selected supplier (column 2, lines 1-65).

Regarding to claim 2, Coyle further discloses wherein data services include at least one of data transportation services and data application service (column 7, lines 30-45).

Regarding to claims 3-7, Coyle further discloses wherein the exchange system includes a moderator system, wherein the moderator system includes a moderator computing system, wherein the exchange system includes switches, wherein the exchange system includes a routing system to provide data transportation services for the buyer through the selected supplier, and wherein the routing system is configured automatically by the exchange system (column 7, lines 45-63).

Regarding to claim 8, Coyle further discloses wherein creating includes automatically generating routing data (column 8, lines 10-35).

Regarding to claims 9-10, Coyle further discloses wherein the service request specifies a ceiling price for the data transportation services and wherein the buyer specifies whether the ceiling price is made available to the supplier (column 27, lines

20-50).

Regarding to claim 11, Coyle further discloses wherein the incentive data includes a decrement amount allowing the incentive data to change according to predetermined rules (column 12, lines 15-45).

Regarding to claims 12-13, Coyle further discloses wherein the routing data includes first and second endpoints, wherein the first and second endpoints include first and second ports respectively (column 9, lines 40-65).

Regarding to claim 14, Coyle further discloses wherein the routing data includes a trunk line specification (column 9, lines 40-65).

Regarding to claims 15-16, Coyle further discloses wherein the service request includes a specified closing time for the receipt of incentive data from the supplier and setting by the exchange system a closing time for receiving the incentive data (column 11, lines 45-65).

Regarding to claim 17, Coyle further discloses wherein determining includes determining by the buyer the selected supplier based on the incentive data (column 27, lines 20-50).

Regarding to claim 18, Coyle further discloses wherein determining includes determining by a moderating system in the exchange system the selected supplier (column 7, lines 45-65).

Regarding to claim 19, Coyle further discloses wherein incentive data includes at least one of economic incentive data, supplier information, and duration of the data transportation services (column 11, lines 45-65).

Regarding to claim 20, Coyle further discloses wherein the incentive data includes a time period for which the incentive data is valid (column 11, lines 45-65).

Regarding to claim 21, Coyle further discloses wherein the supplier information can be conditionally provided to the buyer (column 11, lines 20-45).

Regarding to claim 22, Coyle further discloses providing information regarding the selected supplier to the supplier list (column 27, lines 35-50).

Regarding to claim 23, Coyle further discloses providing all or a subset of the incentive data to all or a subset of the supplier list or buyer list (column 28, lines 3-20).

Regarding to claim 24, Coyle further discloses providing a view of all or a subset of the service requests and incentive data for closed transactions to all or a subset of the supplier list or buyer list (column 28, lines 55-65).

Regarding to claim 25, Coyle further discloses providing a view includes providing a view of all or a subset of the open service requests and open incentive data to all or a subset of the supplier list or buyer list (column 28, lines 55-65).

Regarding to claim 26, Coyle further discloses wherein open service requests and open incentive data includes partially unfulfilled service requests and incentive data (column 9, lines 10-17).

Regarding to claim 27, Coyle further discloses committing the service request after a specified amount of time beyond which the buyer cannot revoke the service request thereby giving the seller a guaranteed sale to compete for (column 28, lines 35-55).

Regarding to claim 28, Coyle further discloses receiving resell information as

derivative routing data (column 26, lines 35-40).

Regarding to claim 29, Coyle further discloses wherein data services include analog and digital information (column 7, lines 19-22).

Regarding to claim 30, Coyle further discloses defining a member profile by the buyer or supplier in the exchange system by entering parameters that allow the buyer or supplier to define selection criteria; and wherein determining a selected supplier includes determining a selected supplier from the supplier list based on the incentive data and by applying the buyer's selection criteria against the supplier list and each supplier's selection criteria against the buyer (column 27, lines 35-50).

Regarding to claim 31, Coyle further discloses defining a member profile includes entering parameters that allow the buyer or supplier to define selection criteria that includes at least one of supplier identifications, credit limits, and type of transmission line (column 28, lines 3-20).

Regarding to claim 32, Coyle further discloses providing a limited view of the buyer list or seller list based on the member profile (column 28, lines 55-65).

Regarding to claim 33, Coyle further discloses wherein defining a member profile includes limiting the supplier list through which the selected supplier is determined (column 27, lines 35-50).

Regarding to claim 34, Coyle further discloses blocking redefining the member profile to include buyers or sellers limited by the member profile for a protection interval in order to prevent use of the member profile to uncover identities of other companies (column 28, lines 3-20).

Regarding to claim 36, Coyle further discloses wherein the exchange system includes a billing system (column 30, lines 60-67, Billing Computer).

Regarding to claim 37, Coyle further discloses notifying the buyer list or supplier list of a new buyer or supplier (column 29, lines 15-23).

Regarding to claim 38, Coyle further discloses tracking bandwidth utilization for the supplier to ensure that the supplier does not provide incentive data for data services beyond the suppliers available bandwidth (column 27, lines 10-15).

Claims 39-70, 72-74 contain similar limitations found in claims 1-34, 36-38, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

Claims 75-104, 106-108 contain similar limitations found in claims 1-34, 37-38, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 35, 71, and 105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coyle, U.S. Patent No. 6,269,157.

Regarding to claims 35, 71 and 105, Coyle does not disclose querying the exchange system by the at least one supplier or the at least one buyer to search for specific service request parameters or incentive data parameters; whereby the at least

one buyer and the at least one supplier can facilitate the submission of service requests and incentive data. However, providing a supplier to search for specific service request from a buyer or a buyer to search for specific service from a seller is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Coyle's to incorporate the well-known feature above, for the purpose of providing more convenient for the supplier/buyer to find the right service request/service they desired.

### ***Conclusion***

7. Claims 1-108 are rejected.
8. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Mashinsky (US 6,442,258) discloses method and system for global communications network management and display of market-price information.

Johnson et al. (US 6,373,929) disclose Bidding for telecommunications traffic.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
C/o Technology Center 3600  
Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(571) 273-0325 (for informal or draft communication, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Knox building, 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, First Floor (Receptionist).



NGA NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 25, 2007